

CUSAT SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING, COCHIN, KERALA (CONSTITUENT COLLEGE)				
GOVERNANCE SELF-REVIEW QUESTIONS	GRADE	SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	DEVELOPMENT PLAN	EXPECTED DELIVERY TIMEFRAME
<b>A PRIMARY ACCOUNTABILITIES</b>				
A1	2	<p>Vision, Mission &amp; Strategic Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School of Engineering is a constituent department of Cochin University of Science and Technology. The University has evolved a Vision, Mission and Strategic Plan, which is applicable to School of Engineering also. The vision and mission of the University is published in the website.</li> </ul> <p>Annual budget</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The annual budget is presented and approved in the meeting of the Syndicate of the University. It forms part of the minutes of the meeting of the syndicate. The annual budget is also circulated in a book form among the departments and offices of the University.</li> </ul> <p>The budget for the year 2013-14 was presented in the Syndicate Meeting held on 30.03.2013.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The GC has reviewed and approved the institutional vision, mission, strategic objectives and strategic plan for School of Engineering at its meeting held on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014.</li> </ul>	
A2	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The local fund auditors and AG's auditors ensure that funds provided by funding bodies are used in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in any funding agreements /contracts /memorandum. Audited statements of accounts are sent to funding agencies.</li> <li>Budgets are approved by the Syndicate annually after detailed discussion.</li> <li>Policies on a range of systems, including treasury management, investment management, risk management, debt management, and grants and contracts are formulated by the Syndicate of the University.</li> <li>Human resource requirements of the School are scrutinized and approved by the Syndicate.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The School is aiming at having a performance-oriented review process for financial and human resources with inputs from the GC.</li> </ul>	31 December 2014
A3	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The University has a benchmarking process on the basis of rankings of the incoming students. At the end of admission year, this data is shared with the Syndicate for deliberations.</li> <li>Institution has applied to NBA for the accreditation of all UG programmes.</li> <li>The Institution has an internal quality assurance mechanism.</li> <li>However benchmarking with national/international institutions has not been carried out so far due to lack of availability of reliable data. Scientific way of benchmarking is to be taken up.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The School proposes to evolve benchmarks for assessing teaching and research based on peer review, student feedback, technology-enhanced education initiative, grant income, citations, and journal ranking. These benchmarks will be placed before the GC and the University Syndicate for review.</li> </ul>	31 March 2015
A4	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Formal arrangement for monitoring does not exist.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is proposed to evolve a monitoring mechanism for assessing the performance of the Head of the Institution based on the quantifiable parameters in the strategic plan.</li> </ul>	31 May 2015
<b>B OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY IN THE OPERATION OF GOVERNING BODIES</b>				

